

REMARKS

The claims have been amended to more clearly define the invention as disclosed in the written description. In particular, claims 6-8 have been cancelled, while claims 10 and 11 have each been amended to include the limitations of cancelled claims 6-8.

The Examiner has rejected claims 2-7 and 9-11 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 6,236,733 to Kato et al. Applicant acknowledges that the Examiner has found claim 8 allowable over the prior art of record.

In view of the above changes, Applicant believes that the Examiner's 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejection has been overcome.

Applicant believes that this application, containing claims 2-5 and 9-11, is now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

by /Edward W. Goodman/  
Edward W. Goodman, Reg. 28,613  
Attorney  
Tel.: 914-333-9611